Virus inactivation by high frequency ultrasound in combination with visible light
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A B S T R A C T

In this study, the effects of high frequency ultrasound (US) and visible light (VL) on virus inactivation were investigated. The bacteriophages ΦX174 and MS2 were used as model viruses. The experiments were performed at room temperature at three different, relatively high US frequencies (i.e., 582, 862, and 1142 kHz) with and without the use of VL, and different initial virus concentrations. The two bacteriophages were diluted in phosphate-buffered saline solution to a titer of 10^3–10^4 pfu/mL. The experimental virus inactivation data were satisfactorily represented by a simple first-order kinetic expression. Virus inactivation was faster at the lower frequencies (582 and 862 kHz). Furthermore, it was observed that MS2 was inactivated faster than ΦX174. The simultaneous use of US and VL was found to be more effective than US alone for MS2 inactivation, indicating the existence of a synergistic effect. However, the use of VL in conjunction with high frequency US hindered the inactivation of ΦX174.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Waterborne viruses, especially human enteric viruses, are often the cause of numerous outbreaks [1–3]. Although some enteric pathogens may not be a serious health threat to healthy adults, they can present critical health hazard to young children, the elderly, and sick people who often have weak or damaged immune systems [4]. In order to control the spreading of the waterborne diseases, various methods have been routinely employed for the disinfection of water and wastewater. The most commonly used disinfection procedures employ chlorination, ozonation, and ultraviolet irradiation [5]. Worthy to note is that chemical disinfection techniques are not always friendly to the environment. The potential formation of harmful mutagenic and carcinogenic by-products in water and wastewater effluents is a major disadvantage of chemical disinfection [6–8]. However, several more expensive, alternative disinfection technologies are available: gamma irradiation [9], high-energy electron beams [10], streamer corona discharge [11], photocatalysis [12], and ultrasound (US) irradiation [13].

US has been employed for microbial inactivation [13–16] as well as for oxidation of organic contaminants in water [17,18]. US leads to the production of cavitation bubbles, which generate high temperature and pressure at the heart of collapsing bubbles [19,20]. However, microbial inactivation by cavitation is attributed to a combination of simultaneously acting mechanisms: mechanical effects (caused by turbulence generation, microstreaming, liquid circulation currents, and shear stresses) capable of disrupting cell membranes, chemical effects (including generation of active free radicals), and heat effects (i.e. generation of local hot spots) [13,21–23]. Generally, the mechanical effects are more responsible for the microbial disinfection, whereas the chemical and heat effects play only a supporting role [15].

The frequency of US is a very important parameter because it controls the size of the cavitation bubbles [24]. It has been reported in the literature that the mean bubble size increases with increasing acoustic power and decreases with increasing US frequency [25]. In general, high frequency US irradiation has been proven to be effective for the inactivation of E. coli [26,27], and microcystins [28]. Sonochemical reaction rate constants are enhanced at high US frequencies (>200 kHz) because the produced free radicals are transported easier away from the cavitation bubbles [29–32]. Furthermore, US in combination with other technologies lead to significantly better microbial inactivation efficiency. Such combinations include US-chlorination [14], US-ultraviolet radiation [33], US-assisted plasma [34], US-titanium dioxide [35]. When US is employed in combination with traditional chemical treatment, the intense pressure gradient improves the penetration of the oxidizing chemicals through the microbial cell membrane [36]. Furthermore, cavitation can facilitate the breakage of microorganism agglomerates [37] and thus increase the efficiency of other chemical disinfectants.

Although the application of US to water treatment is a well-established technology, it is quite difficult to compare the various existing inactivation data sets because of the diverse testing protocols and US systems used. Therefore, more studies are needed before general conclusions can be drawn.
The aim of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of high frequency US (582, 862, and 1142 kHz), and US in combination with visible light (US + VL) for the inactivation of model bacteriophages: MS2 and ΦX174, which are often associated with fecal contamination of water supplies. Furthermore, interactions between viruses were estimated in order to avoid false interpretation of the results, due to virus aggregation. To the best of our knowledge the combined effect of high frequency US and VL on virus inactivation has not been explored before.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Ultrasound reactor

The ultrasonic system employed in this study (Meinhardt Ultraschalltechnik, Leipzig, Germany) consisted of a 75-mm diameter titanium transducer, a function generator, and an amplifier (see Fig. 1). The transducer was mounted at the bottom of a cylindrical 2 L glass reactor with double walls to allow water circulation for cooling. A 550 mL solution of phages suspended in sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS: 1.2 mM NaCl, 0.027 mM KCl, and 0.10 mM Na₂HPO₄) at pH = 7 were poured into the glass reactor. A sample of the solution was used to measure and record the initial phage concentration. The solution temperature was measured with a digital thermometer equipped with a theremistor (Oakton Temp 5 Acorn Series, Eutech Instruments Ltd., Singapore), and it was maintained between 27 and 32 °C. The reactor was operated at frequencies φ = 582, 862, and 1142 kHz with a power setting of 133 W, or equivalently with a power per unit volume of 0.24 W/mL. Thus, all parameters, except the frequency, φ, of the US were maintained constant during the virus inactivation experiments. For each experiment, 2 mL samples were collected at predetermined time intervals over a 120 min time period. The samples were collected from a sampling port located at the bottom of the reactor with a sterile plastic syringe mounted on a stainless steel needle. All samples were analyzed at the end of each experiment.

2.2. Bacteriophage suspensions

The model bacteriophages MS2 and ΦX174 were selected in this study as surrogates for human enteric viruses, which have also been employed in numerous other investigations [38–46]. These bacteriophages are easy to handle because they are not pathogenic, and have similar size and disinfection properties as typical enteric viruses. ΦX174 is an icosahedral, single-stranded DNA phage with 26% nucleic acid content, whose host bacterium is E. coli (ATCC 13706-B1); whereas, MS2 is a F-specific, single-stranded RNA phage with 31% nucleic acid content, whose host bacterium is E. coli (ATCC 15597-B1). The ΦX174 particle diameter ranges from 25 to 27 nm; whereas, MS2 particle diameter ranges from 24 to 26 nm. ΦX174 has hydrophilic protein coat; whereas, MS2 has hydrophobic protein coat [47]. The bacteriophages ΦX174 and MS2, were suspended and diluted in PBS solution at pH = 7 to concentrations in the range of 10³–10⁷ pfu/mL. Both bacteriophages were assayed by the double-layer overlay method [48], where 0.1 mL solution containing the appropriate host bacterium and 0.1 mL of a diluted virus sample solution collected, were mixed in a centrifuge tube. The mixture was combined with molten soft-agar medium (4.5 mL), maintained at 45 °C in a tube, and poured onto a petri dish containing solid agar medium. The plates were solidified for 10 min and incubated overnight at 37 °C. Viable virus concentrations were determined by counting the number of plaques in each host lawn and reported as plaque-forming units per milliliter (pfu/mL). Only dilutions that resulted in 20–300 plaques per plate were accepted for quantification. All virus concentrations reported in this study represent the average of three replicate plates (n = 3). However, this technique could lead to concentration under-estimation because discrete viruses and virus aggregates may not be differentiated. Note that either a solo virus or a virus aggregate produces just one plaque-forming unit. However, virus aggregation is minimized at pH = 7 [49]. Furthermore, to reduce the inherent disadvantage of the analytical technique employed, the samples were stirred and three replicate plates were used for each concentration measurement.

3. Theoretical considerations

3.1. Virus aggregation

The effect of virus aggregation as influenced by solution chemistry can be a complicated issue, and is interpreted in this study by estimation of the virus interfacial potential energy with the Derjaguin, Landau, Verwey and Overbeek (DLVO) theory [50,51]. The classical DLVO theory indicates that the total interaction energy between two surfaces is determined by the van der Waals, ΦvdW; double layer, Φdl; and Born, ΦBorn, potential energies [52]:

\[
\Phi_{\text{DLVO}} (h) = \Phi_{\text{vdW}} (h) + \Phi_{\text{dl}} (h) + \Phi_{\text{Born}} (h)
\]

where h is the separation distance between the approaching surfaces. For two approaching spherical surfaces the analytical expressions for ΦvdW and ΦBorn have been determined by Feke et al. [53], and for Φdl by Hogg et al. [54]. However, the classical DLVO theory has not been always successful to describe particle interactions [55]. The discrepancy between experimental data and theory is attributed to additional energies such as the hydration pressure, hydrogen bonding forces, hydrophobic effects, disjoining pressure, structural forces, osmotic forces, elastic forces and Lewis acid–base forces [56–60]. Incorporation of additional energies of interaction into the classic DLVO model leads to the extended-DLVO (XDLOV) theory. In this study, according to the XDLOV theory, the total interaction energy between two approaching surfaces is considered as the sum of the classical DLVO, ΦDLVO, and Lewis acid–base, ΦAB, interaction energies:

\[
\Phi_{\text{XDLOV}} (h) = \Phi_{\text{DLVO}} (h) + \Phi_{\text{AB}} (h)
\]

The appropriate analytical expression describing ΦAB for the case of two approaching spherical surfaces has been reported by van Oss [57].
3.2. Inactivation kinetics

The experimental data from numerous inactivation studies have been successfully described by the following pseudo-first-order expression with a time-dependent rate coefficient [61–64]:

$$\frac{dC(t)}{dt} = -\lambda(t)C(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $C$ is the concentration of suspended viruses in the liquid phase, $t$ is time, and $\lambda$ is the time-dependent inactivation rate coefficient of suspended viruses described by the following expression [61]:

$$\lambda(t) = \lambda_0 e^{-\alpha t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\lambda_0$ is the initial inactivation rate coefficient, and $\alpha$ is the resistivity coefficient. Assuming that $C(0) = C_0$, where $C_0$ is the initial virus concentration, the solution to Eq. (3) is:

$$\ln \left[ \frac{C(t)}{C_0} \right] = -\frac{\lambda_0}{\alpha} \left[ e^{-\alpha t} - 1 \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

For the special case where $\lambda(t) = \lambda$, the solution to Eq. (3) is:

$$\ln \left[ \frac{C(t)}{C_0} \right] = -\lambda t$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The unknown inactivation parameter values $\lambda_0$ and $\alpha$ were obtained by fitting Eq. (5) to the experimental log-normalized-concentration data using non-linear least squares algorithms, whereas the unknown parameter $\lambda$ was estimated by linear regression fit of Eq. (6) to the log-normalized experimental data.

4. Results and discussion

In order to evaluate the possibility of virus aggregation, the $\Phi_{DLVO}$, $\Phi_{AB}$, and $\Phi_{XDLVO}$ interaction energy profiles as applied to identical virus–virus interactions were constructed following previously published procedures and parameter values [43]. The predicted energy profiles under the experimental conditions (PBS solution: $\text{pH} = 7$, ionic strength $I_s = 2 \text{mM}$) were constructed for the case of sphere–sphere approximation (assuming that both bacteriophages are spherical), and are shown in Fig. 2. Clearly, the classical DLVO theory suggested that MS2 and $\Phi X174$ suspensions were very stable, and no aggregation between like particles was expected to occur under the experimental conditions. The repulsive potential was high and the total potential remained positive for long separation distances. However, the XDLVO theory predicted a primary minimum for the MS2–MS2 case (see dotted curve in Fig. 2a), and suggested that $\Phi_{AB}$ hydrophobic interactions between MS2 particles could lead to initial aggregation. Therefore, Lewis acid–base interactions play an important role in the total interaction energy for the MS2–MS2 case. MS2 particles also have been shown in previous studies to aggregate in ddH$_2$O [43]. Significant coagulation should be expected at pH values near the pH of the isoelectric point, pH$_{\text{iep}}$, which represents the pH where the surface charge of a suspended particle is neutralized. In ddH$_2$O, the pH$_{\text{iep}}$ of MS2 and $\Phi X174$ were reported to be 4.1 and 4.4, respectively [43]. However, it should be noted that the pH$_{\text{iep}}$ of bacteriophages varies slightly with $I_s$ fluctuations [65].

Fig. 3 presents the results from the $\Phi X174$ inactivation experiments with US for three different acoustic frequencies ($\phi = 582, 862, \text{and} 1142 \text{kHz}$). The concentrations for each experiment were normalized with respect to the initial $\Phi X174$ concentration, which for the three inactivation experiments were 1176, 586 and 608 pfu/mL for the frequencies 582, 862 and 1142 kHz, respectively. Clearly, faster inactivation of $\Phi X174$ was observed at the lower acoustic frequencies.

Fig. 4 presents the results from the MS2 inactivation experiments with US for the two lower acoustic frequencies ($\phi = 582, \text{and} 862 \text{kHz}$). Three different initial concentrations were used for $\phi = 582 \text{kHz}$ in order to investigate the effect of initial concentration on inactivation. The initial MS2 concentrations for the four inactivation experiments were 11,175, 14,025, 10,783, and 17,700 pfu/mL for the frequencies 582(a), 582(b), 582(c), and 862 kHz, respectively. The experimental data suggested that virus inactivation was affected by the initial virus concentration. Worthy to note is that...
MS2 inactivation rates increased with increasing initial virus concentration.

Fig. 5 presents the experimental results from ΦX174 inactivation experiments with US alone and with combined US + VL for the low and high acoustic frequencies (ϕ = 582 and 1142 kHz). Two different initial concentrations were used for the inactivation experiments with combined US + VL for ϕ = 582 kHz. The initial ΦX174 concentrations for the inactivation experiments with combined US + VL were 6388, 3690, and 5125 pfu/mL for the frequencies 582(a), 582(b), and 1142 kHz, respectively. Whereas, the initial ΦX174 concentrations for the inactivation experiments with US were 1176 and 608 pfu/mL for ϕ = 582 and 1142 kHz, respectively. Clearly, ΦX174 inactivation with US + VL hinders the inactivation of ΦX174 compared to the inactivation experiments with high frequency US alone. Furthermore, the experimental data suggested that ΦX174 inactivation rates increased with increasing initial virus concentration. This observation is in agreement with the MS2 inactivation results obtained for the inactivation experiments with high frequency US alone (see Fig. 4).

In a recent study of ΦX174 and MS2 inactivation in the presence of quartz sand under static and dynamic batch conditions, contrary to the results of this study, it was observed that the inactivation rates decreased with increasing initial virus concentrations [60]. Possible explanations for this discrepancy could be either that the distractive cavitation effects are expected to be more pronounced as the virus concentrations increase because more cavitation bubbles are in the neighborhood of suspended bacteriophages, or when virus aggregates are present because are expected to form easier at higher concentrations. The second explanation could be valid only for MS2 because for the case of ΦX174 no aggregation is expected under the experimental conditions (see Fig. 2b). Worthy to note is that virus aggregation in the absence of US is known to reduce significantly inactivation rates [66]. However, aggregation may not protect viruses from the high temperature and pressure generated by the cavitation bubbles when US is applied. Certainly, more experiments are needed in order to fully understand the mechanisms that lead to the observed inactivation behavior of ΦX174 and MS2.

Fig. 6 presents the experimental results from MS2 inactivation with US alone and with combined US + VL for the low acoustic frequency (ϕ = 582 kHz). Two different initial concentrations were used for the inactivation experiments with US + VL. The initial MS2 concentrations for the inactivation experiments with combined US + VL were 11,133, and 7500 pfu/mL for the frequencies 582(a), and 582(b), respectively. Whereas, the initial MS2 concentration for the inactivation experiment with US was 10,783 pfu/mL. Clearly, MS2 inactivation with US + VL enhances the inactivation of MS2 compared to the MS2 inactivation with high frequency US alone. Worthy to note is that this observation is exactly opposite than that observed for ΦX174 inactivation. Therefore, it appears that VL acts synergistically with US on MS2 inactivation, but ΦX174 is more resistant to the combined effects of inactivation with US + VL. Furthermore, the experimental data suggested that MS2 inactivation rates were increased with increasing initial virus concentration. This observation was in agreement with the MS2 inactivation results with high frequency US alone (see Fig. 4), and ΦX174 inactivation results with US + VL (see Fig. 5). MS2 inactivation enhancement by VL irradiation was also observed by other investigators [67]. A possible explanation for the observed synergistic effect is that MS2 absorbs the incident photon energy [68], which leads to photo-induced reactions in conjunction with the collapsing cavitation bubbles produced by high frequency US [19,20].

Fig. 7 presents selected ΦX174 and MS2 inactivation experimental data together with the fitted models for both constant and time-dependent inactivation rate coefficients. Clearly, both inactivation models simulate the experimental data quite satisfactorily. Therefore, the simple first-order inactivation model with constant inactivation rate coefficients is recommended. The fitted inactivation rate coefficients for all experiments conducted in this study are listed in Table 1. Similar inactivation rates with those obtained here have been reported for MS2 by other researchers that employed sunlight, photocatalysis, and various chemicals. Mattle et al. [69] reported that the inactivation rate of MS2 ranged from λ = 0.05 to 0.17 1/min. Hu et al. [70] observed that MS2 inactivation with 2.8 mg/L potassium ferrate (VL) at pH = 8.0, and $C_0 = 4 \times 10^5$ pfu/mL underwent with a rate of λ = 0.29 1/min. Simulated sunlight irradiation of MS2 resulted in inactivation rates ranging from λ = 0.00008

![Fig. 5. Normalized experimental data with associated error bars (±SD, n = 3) for ΦX174 inactivation by US and combined US + VL at two different acoustic frequencies. Error bars not shown are smaller than the size of the symbol.](image)

![Fig. 6. Normalized experimental data with associated error bars (±SD, n = 3) for MS2 inactivation by US and combined US + VL at 582 kHz. Error bars not shown are smaller than the size of the symbol.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Fitted values ± one standard deviation (±SD) of the inactivation rate coefficients.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conditions</td>
<td>$C_0$ (pfu/mL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΦX174</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>1176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US + VL</td>
<td>6388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US + VL</td>
<td>3690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US + VL</td>
<td>5125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>11,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>14,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>10,783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>17,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US + VL</td>
<td>11,133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US + VL</td>
<td>7500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
to 0.0025 1/min [71] or $\lambda < 0.063$ 1/min in the absence of natural organic matter [72]. Note that the virus inactivation rates due to US or US + VL reported in Table 1 are at least three orders of magnitude larger than $\Phi X 174$ and MS2 inactivation rates determined under laboratory (static and dynamic) and field conditions reported by other investigators [63,64,73].

Fig. 8 presents graphically the variability of the fitted inactivation rate coefficient as a function of initial virus concentration and acoustic frequency for the inactivation experiments with US and US + VL. A clear trend of increasing inactivation with increasing initial virus concentration is shown. Furthermore, the fitted inactivation rate coefficients clearly suggest that MS2 inactivation is faster than that of $\Phi X 174$. This result is in agreement with other studies published in the recent literature [64,74,75].

5. Summary and conclusions

High frequency US (582, 862, and 1142 kHz), and US in combination with VL were employed in order to experimentally investigate the inactivation of MS2 and $\Phi X 174$. Various experiments were conducted at room temperature and the virus inactivation data were satisfactorily represented by a simple first-order kinetic expression. Possible interactions between viruses were theoretically estimated so that the extent of virus aggregation under the experimental conditions could be evaluated. The experimental data suggested that, for both viruses, the inactivation due to US was faster at the lower frequency examined, and that MS2 inactivation was relatively faster than $\Phi X 174$ inactivation. Furthermore, for the case of MS2 it was shown that US + VL was more effective than US alone. For the case of $\Phi X 174$, US and VL did not provide any synergistic effects, on the contrary, the inactivation of $\Phi X 174$ was hindered. Therefore, the combined use of US and VL should be employed only on specific cases.
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